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## Motivation and Outline

## Remark (Motivation)

Construction of certificate automaton for match-boundedness. Up to now

- exact but inefficient algorithm [Matchbox03]
- approximation, fast but incomplete [Torpa04,Aprove05,TTTBox06]

This talk explains the algorithm of [Jambox05, Matchbox06]
(1) Decomposing string rewriting systems

- Decomposition
- Conjugates
- Deleting string rewriting
(2) Decomposing match-bounded systems
- Match-bounded string rewriting
- Improved decomposition for match(R)
- On-line construction of automata


## Decomposition

We use the following classes of string rewriting systems (SRS):

- CF $=\{R|\forall(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R:|\ell| \leq 1\}$ (context-free SRS)
- $\mathrm{CF}_{0}=\{R|\forall(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R:|\ell|=0\} \subseteq \mathrm{CF}$
- $\mathrm{SN}=\left\{R \mid \rightarrow_{R}\right.$ is strongly normalizing (terminating) $\}$

We write $R^{-}$for $\{r \rightarrow \ell \mid(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R\}$.

## Definition (Decomposition of $R$ )

Let $R$ be a SRS over $\Sigma$, let $S$ and $T$ be SRSs over $\Gamma \supseteq \Sigma$.
Then the pair ( $S, T$ ) is a decomposition of $R$ if

$$
\rightarrow_{R}^{*}=\left(\rightarrow_{S}^{*} \circ \rightarrow_{T}^{*}\right) \cap\left(\Sigma^{*} \times \Sigma^{*}\right) .
$$

If additionally $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$ for classes of $\operatorname{SRS} \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$, then $(S, T)$ is called an $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$-decomposition of $R$.

## Left and right inverse letters

$\left(\Sigma^{*}, \cdot\right)$ is a monoid, but concatenation is not invertible. We introduce formal left and right inverses of letters:

- $\bar{\Sigma}=\Sigma \uplus\{\vec{a}, \overleftarrow{a} \mid a \in \Sigma\}$

The behaviour of these is expressed by the rewriting system:

- $E=\{\vec{a} a \rightarrow \epsilon, a \overleftarrow{a} \rightarrow \epsilon \mid a \in \Sigma\}$

We extend $\rightarrow$ and $\leftarrow$ from letters to strings by:

- $\overrightarrow{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\overrightarrow{a_{n}} \cdots \overrightarrow{a_{1}}$ and $\overleftarrow{a_{1} \cdots a_{n}}=\overleftarrow{a_{n}} \cdots \overleftarrow{a_{1}}$

Observe that $\vec{x} x \rightarrow_{E}^{*} \epsilon \leftarrow_{E}^{*} x \overleftarrow{x}$ for $x \in \Sigma^{*}$.
The above construction is standard. The congruence relation generated by $\rightarrow_{E}$ is called the Shamir congruence in [Sak03] II.6.2.

## Conjugates

## Example

The system $R=\{a b \rightarrow c\}$ over $\Sigma=\{a, b, c\}$ has the conjugates $R,\{b \rightarrow \overleftarrow{a} c\},\{a \rightarrow c \vec{b}\},\{\epsilon \rightarrow \overleftarrow{a} c \vec{b}\},\{\epsilon \rightarrow \overleftarrow{b} \overleftarrow{a} c\},\{\epsilon \rightarrow c \vec{b} \vec{a}\}$.

By $C(R)$ we denote the union of all conjugates of $R$.

## Theorem

## Every SRS R has a context-free conjugate $C$ and

- $(C, E)$ is a (CF, $\left.S N \cap C F_{0}^{-}\right)$-decomposition of $R$
- ( $C(R), E)$ is a decomposition of $R$
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## Theorem
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## Deleting string rewriting

## Definition (Deleting string rewriting)

A SRS $R$ over $\Sigma$ is called deleting if there is an irreflexive partial ordering $>$ on $\Sigma$ such that

$$
\forall(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R \exists a \in \ell \forall b \in r: a>b
$$

## Lemma

For a SRS $R$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) There is a terminating context-free conjugate of $R$
(2) $R$ is deleting.

## Deleting string rewriting

## Definition (Deleting string rewriting)
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$$
\forall(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R \exists a \in \ell \forall b \in r: a>b
$$

## Lemma

For a SRS $R$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) There is a terminating context-free conjugate of $R$.
(2) $R$ is deleting.

## Corollary

Let $R$ be a deleting string rewriting system, then
(1) $R$ has a ( $\mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}_{0}^{-}$)-decomposition, and
(2) [HofWal04] $R$ preserves regularity, $R^{-}$preserves context-freeness.

## Example

$$
R=\{b a \rightarrow c b, b d \rightarrow d, c d \rightarrow d e, d \rightarrow \epsilon\}
$$

is deleting with respect to the ordering $a>b>c>d>e$.
A terminating context-free conjugate of $R$ is

$$
C=\{a \rightarrow \overleftarrow{b} c b, b \rightarrow d \vec{d}, c \rightarrow d e \vec{d}, d \rightarrow \epsilon\}
$$

We construct an automaton $A$ with $\mathcal{L}(A)=R^{*}(L)$ where $L=\{a, b\}^{*}$.
Naive algorithm: closure under $R$.
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$\Longrightarrow$ the algorithm does not terminate!

## Example (...continued)

$$
\begin{gathered}
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E=\{a \overleftarrow{a} \rightarrow \epsilon, \vec{a} a \rightarrow \epsilon, b \overleftarrow{b} \rightarrow \epsilon, \vec{b} b \rightarrow \epsilon, \ldots, d \overleftarrow{d} \rightarrow \epsilon, \vec{d} d \rightarrow \epsilon\}
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(1) closure under $C$

(3) closure under $E$
(3) restriction to $\Sigma$
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$$
\Longrightarrow R^{*}(L)=\left(a+c^{*} b\right)^{*}
$$

## Match-bounded string rewriting

Following [GesHofWal04], we annotate letters by numbers.

- Extended alphabet: $\Gamma=\Sigma \times \mathbb{N}$ (we write $a_{n}$ for $(a, n)$ in $\Gamma$ )

Define base : $\Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma$, height : $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, lift ${ }_{n}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
\operatorname{base}\left(a_{n}\right)=a, \operatorname{height}\left(a_{n}\right)=n, \operatorname{lift}_{n}(a)=a_{n}
$$

## Definition (match $(R))$

For a SRS $R$ over $\Sigma$ where $\epsilon \notin \operatorname{lhs}(R)$ define a SRS over $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{match}(R)=\left\{\ell^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{lift}_{m+1}(r) \mid(\ell \rightarrow r) \in R, \operatorname{base}\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)=\ell,\right. \\
& \left.m=\min \text { height }\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The SRS match $(R)$ simulates $R$-rewriting: $\rightarrow_{R}^{*}=\operatorname{lift}_{0} \circ \rightarrow_{\text {match }(R)}^{*} \circ$ base
Example (match(\{aa $\rightarrow a b a\})$ )
$a_{0} a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}, a_{0} a_{1} \rightarrow a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}, a_{2} a_{1} \rightarrow a_{2} b_{2} a_{2}, a_{4} a_{8} \rightarrow a_{5} b_{5} a_{5}, \ldots$

## Definition (Match-boundedness)

The system $R$ is called match-bounded by $h \in \mathbb{N}$ if

$$
\rightarrow_{\operatorname{match}(R)}^{*}\left(\operatorname{lift}_{0}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)\right) \subseteq(\Sigma \times\{0, \ldots, h\})^{*}
$$

## Theorem

## Every match-bounded SRS is terminating.

```
For a system S over \Sigma }\times\mathbb{N}\mathrm{ let Sh
```
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## Definition (Match-boundedness)

The system $R$ is called match-bounded by $h \in \mathbb{N}$ if

$$
\rightarrow_{\operatorname{match}(R)}^{*}\left(\operatorname{lift}_{0}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)\right) \subseteq(\Sigma \times\{0, \ldots, h\})^{*}
$$

## Theorem

Every match-bounded SRS is terminating.
For a system $S$ over $\Sigma \times \mathbb{N}$ let $S_{h}=\left.S\right|_{\Sigma \times\{0, \ldots, h\}}$.
If $R$ is match-bounded by $h$ then $\rightarrow_{R}^{*}=\operatorname{lift}_{0} \circ \rightarrow_{\text {match }_{h}(R)}^{*} \circ$ base

## Remark

Each system match $_{h}(R)$ is deleting w.r.t. $a_{m}>b_{n}$ if $m<n$. Hence we could apply the decomposition for deleting systems to match $_{h}(R)$, but ...

## Improved decomposition for $\operatorname{match}(R)$

Giving up uniqueness of the inverses to improve the decomposition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E^{\prime}=\left\{\vec{a}_{i} a_{j} \rightarrow \epsilon, a_{j} \overleftarrow{a_{i}} \rightarrow \epsilon \mid a \in \Sigma, j \geq i \geq 0\right\} \\
C^{\prime}=\left\{a_{i} \rightarrow \operatorname{lift}_{i}(\overleftarrow{x}) \operatorname{lift}_{i+1}(r) \operatorname{lift}_{i}(\vec{y}) \mid(x a y \rightarrow r) \in R, a \in \Sigma, i \geq 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

Take $R=\{a a \rightarrow a b a\}$, and consider decompositions of $\operatorname{match}_{2}(R)$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
C_{2}^{\prime}=\{ & a_{0} & \rightarrow \overleftarrow{a_{0}} a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}, & C_{2}=C_{2}^{\prime} \uplus\{ \\
& a_{0} & \rightarrow a_{0} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{a_{1}} b_{1} a_{1} \overrightarrow{a_{0}}, & a_{1} a_{1}, a_{0} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{a_{2}} a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}, \\
& a_{1} \rightarrow \overleftarrow{a_{1}} b_{1} a_{1} \overrightarrow{a_{1}} a_{2} b_{2} a_{2}, & a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1} b_{1} a_{1} \overrightarrow{a_{2}}, \\
& a_{1} \rightarrow a_{2} b_{2} a_{2} a_{2}, \\
2 & \left.\overrightarrow{a_{1}}\right\} & & \left.a_{1} \rightarrow a_{2} b_{2} a_{2} \overrightarrow{a_{2}}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

$C_{2}^{\prime}$ contains 4 rules, and $E_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{\overrightarrow{a_{0}} a_{0} \rightarrow \epsilon, \overrightarrow{a_{0}} a_{1} \rightarrow \epsilon, \ldots\right\}$ with 24 rules. In contrast, $C_{2}^{\prime} \subset C_{2}$ with $\left|C_{2}\right|=10$, while $E_{2} \subset E_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\left|E_{2}\right|=12$.

## Theorem (match $(R)$ decomposition)

( $C^{\prime}, E^{\prime}$ ) is a ( $\mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}_{0}^{-}$)-decomposition of match $(R)$. $\left(C_{h}^{\prime}, E_{h}^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\left(\mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}_{0}^{-}\right)$-decomposition of match $_{h}(R)$.

## Corollary

Every match-bounded SRS has a (SN $\cap \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{SN} \cap \mathrm{CF}^{-}$)-decomposition.
The improved decomposition yields a drastic reduction from $C_{c}$ to $C_{c}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|C_{h}\right| \leq|R| \cdot m \cdot(h+1)^{m} & \left|E_{h}\right|=|\Sigma| \cdot O(h) \\
\left|C_{h}^{\prime}\right| \leq|R| \cdot m \cdot h & \left|E_{h}^{\prime}\right|=|\Sigma| \cdot O\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Less rules in $C$ imply smaller automata. $E$ adds only $\epsilon$-transitions.

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=\{c a a c \rightarrow a a a, b \rightarrow a c a, a b a \rightarrow b b\} \text { (RFC-match-bound 12) } \\
& \left|C_{12}\right|=64054 \quad\left|C_{12}^{\prime}\right|=286 \quad\left|E_{12}\right|=78 \quad\left|E_{12}^{\prime}\right|=546 \\
& |A|>10^{45} \quad\left|A^{\prime}\right|<10^{15}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|A|,\left|A^{\prime}\right|$ are the automata sizes using the off-line construction.

Example (On-line construction for match-bound search)

$$
R=\{a a \rightarrow a b a\} \text { over } \Sigma=\{a, b\}
$$

On-line construction: for every $R$-redex we choose a conjugate $a \rightarrow r$, add a fresh path labeled with $r$, followed by closure under $E$.


- Automaton for lift ${ }_{0}(L)$
- $1 \xrightarrow{20} 1 \xrightarrow{a_{0}} 1$ is a match $(R)$-redex $\Longrightarrow$ conjugate $a_{0} \rightarrow a_{1} b_{1} a_{1} \overrightarrow{a_{0}}$
- $4 \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{a_{0}}} 1 \xrightarrow{a_{0}} 1$ is an $E^{\prime}$-redex, we add a transition $4 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 1$
- $4 \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{0_{0}}} 1 \xrightarrow{a_{1}} 2$ is an $E^{\prime}$-redex, we add a transition $4 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 2$
- $3 \xrightarrow{a_{1}} 4 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 1 \xrightarrow{a_{1}} 2$ is a match $(R)$-redex $\Longrightarrow$ conjugate $a_{1} \rightarrow a_{2} b_{2} a_{2} \overrightarrow{a_{1}}$
- $7 \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{a_{1}}} 4 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 1 \xrightarrow{a_{1}} 2$ is an $E^{\prime}$-redex, we add a transition $7 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} 2$
- Now the automaton is compatible with match $(R)$.

Hence $R$ is match-bounded by 2 and therefore terminating.
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On-line construction: for every $R$-redex we choose a conjugate $a \rightarrow r$, add a fresh path labeled with $r$, followed by closure under $E$.
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## Example

To conclude, we consider the system

$$
R=\{c a a c \rightarrow a a a, b \rightarrow a c a, a b a \rightarrow b b\} .
$$

Jambox is the only termination prover that solved this problem in the recent termination competition, see
http://www.lri.fr/~marche/termination-competition/, problem SRS/secret2006/jambox-1.
The implementation of our on-line algorithm constructs an exactly compatible automaton with 27.957 states that certifies the RFC-match-bound 12. (time is 1,13 seconds on a Athlon 3200+)
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