Automation for Exercises in Computer Science and Mathematics Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig HTWK, 3 May 2018	<pre>Example: problem instance • topic: terms over a given many-sorted signature, • equivalently, type-correct use of an API write an expression of type Cherry , given Pear c; static Tomato a (Pear x , Pear y); static Tomato b</pre>
Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 1 / 18	Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 2 / 18
<pre>Example: submission and evaluation infer type for expression: a (c , d (c)) function declaration is static Tomato a (Pear x , Pear y) number of arguments matches declaration? Yes. check argument number 1 [] check argument number 2 infer type for expression: d (c) function declaration is static Pear d (Cherry x) number of arguments matches declaration? Yes check argument number 1 infer type for expression: c is variable with declaration: Pear c has type: Pear type of argument matches declaration? No.</pre>	<pre>Example: Conf. of Instance Generator • teacher sets these parameters Conf { max_arity = 3 , types = [Apple, Pear , Orange, Cherry, Tomato] , min_symbols = 5 , max_symbols = 5 , min_size = 7 , max_size = 15 } • then a generator program will produce problem instances for students Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 4/18</pre>
Example: Polymorphic Typing Give an expression of type Fozzie <kermit, kermit=""></kermit,>	More Examples

- instance: graph G, solution: Hamiltonian Circuit in G statler (Piggy<T2> x , Piggy<T2> y); instance: graph G, number k, static <T2> Kermit waldorf (Piggy<T2> x); solution: conflict-free k-colouring of G static Piggy<Fozzie<Animal, Animal>> bunsen ();
 - logic:

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig

system)

- instance: propositional logic formula in CNF solution: a satisfying assignment
- instance: formula in 1st order predicate logic solution: a model of the formula

Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths

as (stateless) semantics server (XML-RPC)

data base (problems, students, grades,...)

... display highscores: small/early solutions)

web front-end (for student, for teacher, ...

https://gitlab.imn.htwk-leipzig.de/

plugin for Olat LMS (learning management

since \approx 2000, open-source (GPL), Haskell,

 \approx 1500 modules, \approx 15 MB source

Leipzig autotool — Components

collection of exercise types

stand-alone autotool LMS with

autotool/all0

```
Leipzig autotool — General Design
```

(S.<Fozzie<Animal, Animal>>waldorf

Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths

class S {

chef (Piggy<Piggy<T2>> x , Piggy<Piggy<T1>>

static <T2> Piggy<Piggy<Animal>>

static <T2> Fozzie<Kermit, T2>

rowlf (T2 x, Animal y);

(S.bunsen()), ...

for each type of exercise:

- types: Config, Instance, Solution (each with pretty-printer, parser, API doc)
- functions:

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig

in the signature

static <T2, T1> T1

S.<Kermit>rowlf

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig

- grade: Instance \times Solution \rightarrow Bool
 - \rightarrow Bool \times Text
- ▶ describe: Instance → Text
- initial: Instance \rightarrow Solution
- generate: Config \times Seed \rightarrow Instance

}

HTWK, 3 May 2018

5/18

HTWK, 3 May 2018 8 / 18

HTWK, 3 May 2018

6/18

 Leipzig autotool — Applications at HTWK Leipzig, IMN, since 2003, in lectures on Modellierung (discrete mathematics and logic) Algorithms and Data Structures Automata and Formal Languages Advanced (i.e., Functional) Programming Artificial Intelligence Principles of Programming Languages Theory of Computation Constraint Programming 	 Experience - Students, Teachers autotool is: always available, always correct, always patient teaching/grading assistant is: available for few hours a week only (if at all – staff costs money, which we generally don't have) autotool homework exercises prepare students for discussing "real homework" (that is, proofs) in classes
Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 9 / 18	Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 10 / 18
 Experience - Implementation each exercise type is a domain specific language (concrete syntax, abstract syntax, semantics) <i>implementation</i> of the grading algorithm (= semantics) is always the easiest part the hard part is the <i>design</i> what type of exercise helps the student to understand a specific concept? how can we write the instance generator? 	 Design Goals for Exercises grading: should give reasonable explanation for wrong submissions (not just "it's wrong") without giving away the correct solution generator: each instance: non-trivial, but manageable, set of instances: sufficiently distinct, but of similar difficulty concrete syntax: Haskell syntax for tuples, lists, records except: (model) programming languages
Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 11 / 18	Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 12 / 18
 Design Principles for Exercises basic approach: verify property of an object example: any NP complete problem, e.g., SAT but this does not check whether the student used a certain algorithm to construct this object several exercise types implement non-deterministic algorithms (= inference systems) student has to find an execution path (inference tree, proof), examples: Resolution (derive empty clause) Hilbert style deduction (derive formula) (balanced) search tree operations 	 Example: Algorithms on Search Trees instance: AVL trees <i>s</i>, <i>t</i>, pattern <i>p</i>, e.g., [Insert 92, *, *, *, *, Insert 51, *, Delete 38] solution: sequence <i>q</i> of operations that matches <i>p</i> and transforms <i>s</i> to <i>t</i> this exercise is not to implement operations, but to give correct (black-box) implementation so that students can explore their properties underlying design principle: <i>sudoku</i>, that is, create "holes" that students have to fill in
Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 13 / 18	Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018 14 / 18
Design Principle: AST Sudoku • start from any exercise type with grade: Instance × Solution \rightarrow Bool • build generator that produces correct pairs • Instance \in Term(Σ), Solution \in Term(Γ), from Term to Pattern: introduce (several) • variables for subtrees • variables for function symbols • "sudoku" variant of this exercise: • instance: (p_i, p_c) \in Pat(Σ) × Pat(Γ)	 Sounds Great - I Want This! autotool is free software (GPL): you can download, compile, install, use! source/instruction: https://gitlab.imn. htwk-leipzig.de/autotool/all0 TODO (contributions welcome) translation (most exercises German-only, some English-only, some have both texts) more exercise types (requires: 1. design skills, 2. Haskell skills)

instance: (*p_i*, *p_s*) ∈ Pat(Σ) × Pat(Γ)
 solution: a correct instance of (*p_i*, *p_s*)

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths

- unlike Sudoku, solution is not necessarily unique

integration with other LMS (learning

management systems)

HTWK, 3 May 2018 16 / 18

Discussion (this slide added after talk)

- Q: autotool should give feedback based on models of students' learning process (and errors)
 A: Nice to have. Background see https: //www.uu.nl/staff/JTJeuring#tabPublicaties
- Q: autotool tutorials for students? A: Concrete syntax is mostly uniform, semantics is discussed in lectures.
 Students have to adapt to (but that's exactly the point):
 - use textual input (not graphical)
 - read and understand error messages

HTWK, 3 May 2018

17/18

Q: tutorials for teachers? A: see https: //gitlab.imn.htwk-leipzig.de/autotool/ all0#documentation-papers-talks-theses

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths

Discussion: Can this work?

- some properties are not decidable (equivalence of context free grammars, of programs, ...)
 - use tests instead (e.g., 1000 shortest strings and 1000 random strings)
 - do not check the property, but a formal proof of that property (need to define and implement syntax and semantics for proofs)
 - change the question to use a decidable approximation instead,
 e.g., program equivalence: forget states, obtain regular trace language

18/18

Johannes Waldmann, HTWK Leipzig Automation for Exercises in CS and Maths HTWK, 3 May 2018