

Rewrite Properties and Presburger Logic

Johannes Waldmann (HTWK Leipzig)

Workshop Proof Theory and Rewriting
Kanazawa, 2013

1/21

Outline

- ▶ properties of rewrite systems:
 - ▶ non-termination, termination
 - ▶ lower/upper bounds on derivational complexity
- ▶ certificates for these properties:
 - ▶ (families of) derivations \Rightarrow lower bounds
 - ▶ interpretations \Rightarrow upper bounds
- ▶ Presburger logic for:
 - ▶ defining the certificate
 - ▶ defining the validity of a certificate
- ▶ applications:
 - ▶ decide validity of certificate
 - ▶ unify (non)termination proof methods
- ▶ extensions (work in progress):
 - ▶ enlarge the class of certificates
 - ▶ finding certificates automatically

2/21

Rewrite Systems and Properties

- ▶ string rewriting system: $R \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$
Ex. $R = \{(al, ar), (rb, br)\}$
- ▶ derivation relation $u \rightarrow_R v :=$
 $\exists p, s \in \Sigma^*, (x, y) \in R : u = pxs \wedge pys = v$
Ex. $albb \rightarrow_R arbb \rightarrow_R abrb \rightarrow_R abbr$
- ▶ properties:
 - ▶ R is non-terminating := there is an infinite path
 $w_0 \rightarrow_R w_1 \rightarrow_R w_2 \rightarrow \dots$
 - ▶ R is terminating := \neg (R is non-terminating)

3/21

Non-Termination

4/21

Specifying families of derivations

example: (non-looping) non-termination for

- ▶ $R = \{al \rightarrow ar, rb \rightarrow br, re \rightarrow ble, bl \rightarrow lb\}$
- ▶ for each $i \geq 0$: $alb^i e \rightarrow arb^i e \rightarrow^i ab^i re \rightarrow ab^{i+1} le \rightarrow^{i+1} alb^{i+1} e$
- ▶ inf. derivation $ale \rightarrow^3 albe \rightarrow^5 alb^2 e \rightarrow^7 \dots$

formal proof of non-termination of R :

- ▶ specify sub-derivation $alb^i e \rightarrow^{2i+2} alb^{i+1} e$
- ▶ verify that sub-derivations are correct and can be linked
- ▶ using Presburger Logic
(= first-order logic with $+$ and $=$ over \mathbb{N})

5/21

Specifying families of derivations (II)

$$alb^i e \rightarrow arb^i e \rightarrow^i ab^i re \rightarrow ab^{i+1} le \rightarrow^{i+1} alb^{i+1} e$$

using relations $D_x(i, t, p)$ for $i, t, p \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \Sigma_{\perp}$
 $t = \text{time}, p = \text{position}, x = \text{letter or blank } (\perp)$

$$D_a(i, t, p) = (p = 0)$$

$$D_e(i, t, p) = (t \leq i + 1 \wedge p = i) \vee (t > i + 1 \wedge p = i + 1)$$

$$D_l(i, t, p) = \begin{matrix} (t = 0 \wedge p = 1) \\ \vee (t > i + 2 \wedge t + p = 2i + 4) \end{matrix}$$

$$D_r(i, t, p) = 0 < t \wedge t \leq i + 1 \wedge t = p$$

$$D_{\perp}(i, t, p) = (t \leq i + 1 \wedge p > i + 2) \vee (t > i + 1 \wedge p > i + 3)$$

$$D_b(i, t, p) = \neg \bigvee_{x \in \{a, b, l, r, \perp\}} D_x(i, t, p)$$

6/21

Validating families of derivations

relations D_x specify a non-terminating deriv. if

- ▶ (encode)
 - ▶ $\forall i, t, p$: exactly one of $\{D_x(i, t, p) \mid x \in \Sigma_{\perp}\}$
 - ▶ $\forall i, t, p : D_{\perp}(i, p, t) \Rightarrow D_{\perp}(i, p, t + 1)$
- ▶ (step) $\forall i, t : \exists q : \bigvee_{(l, r) \in R}$
"rule (l, r) was applied at position q "
 - ▶ left of q : no change,
 - ▶ in $q \dots q + |l| - 1$: change according to rule,
 - ▶ right of $q + |l|$: shift by $|r| - |l|$
- ▶ (link) $\forall i : \exists t : t > 0 \wedge$
 $\forall p : \bigwedge_{x \in \Sigma_{\perp}} D_x(i, t, p) \iff D_x(i + 1, 0, p)$

7/21

Validating families of derivations (II)

since we use *Presburger Logic*, which is decidable,

- ▶ to define D_x (= the family of derivations),
- ▶ to define validity of D_x ;

we have

- ▶ D_x is a certificate for non-termination, validity of certificate is decidable,
- ▶ this generalizes loops, and self-embedding rewrite closures ($pu^i s \rightarrow^+ pu^{i+1} s$)
- ▶ reduction strategies can be included if the redex selection criterion is Presburger-definable (e.g., leftmost)

8/21

Termination

9/21

Presburger definable interpretations

apply the general termination proof method

- ▶ monotone Σ -algebra A with well-founded carrier $(D, >)$: for each $f \in \Sigma$, a function $f_A : D \rightarrow D$ with $\forall x, y \in D : x > y \Rightarrow f_A(x) > f_A(y)$.
- ▶ ... compatible with R : $\forall (l, r) \in R, x \in D : l_A(x) > r_A(x)$ where $w_A(x) = w_{1_A}(\dots w_{n_A}(x) \dots)$ for $w = w_1 \dots w_n$
- ▶ for A that are Presburger-definable, e.g., $D = \mathbb{N}^k, >_A := >_{\mathbb{N}} \times \geq_{\mathbb{N}}^{k-1}$, f_A using $+$, \max , ...

10/21

Definition of an Interpretation

$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is p -quasi-periodic (of slope 1) iff $\forall x : f(x + p) = p + f(x)$

| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|-----------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ex. ($p = 3$) | a | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | b | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| | A | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 |
| | B | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 |

writing functions as relations:

$$b(i, o) := \exists t : (o = t + t + t) \wedge (i < o) \wedge (o \leq i + 3)$$

$$A(i, o) := \begin{matrix} (\exists t : i = 3t) \Rightarrow i + 2 = o \\ \wedge \neg(\exists t : i = 3t) \Rightarrow i + 3 = o \end{matrix}$$

11/21

Properties of Interpretations

- ▶ weak monotonicity $\forall i_1, i_2, o_1, o_2 : i_1 \leq i_2 \wedge a(i_1, o_1) \wedge a(i_2, o_2) \Rightarrow o_1 \leq o_2$
- ▶ compatibility, e.g., with $(l, r) = (Aaa, Bab)$

$$l(i, o) := \exists p, q : a(i, p) \wedge a(p, q) \wedge A(q, o)$$

$$r(i, o) := \exists p, q : b(i, p) \wedge a(p, q) \wedge B(q, o)$$

$$\forall i, o_1, o_2 : l(i, o_1) \wedge l(i, o_2) \Rightarrow o_1 \geq o_2$$

12/21

Presburger interpretations (II)

- ▶ the termination certificate (the interpretation) is Presburger definable, e.g.,
 - ▶ built from basic functions (const, id, mod k)
 - ▶ by max, plus, composition
- ▶ the validity of the certificate is Presburger definable
 - ▶ monotonicity
 - ▶ compatibility with R
- ▶ validity of certificate is decidable

not Presburger definable in general:

- ▶ validity of the *method*,
- ▶ *existence* of a certificate

13/21

Presburger interpretations (III)

- ▶ generalizes quasi-periodic interpretations; arctic, tropical, fuzzy matrix interpretations
- ▶ can extend to \mathbb{N}^k -valued interpretations, using Presburger definable functions on components
- ▶ Q: what is the implied upper bound on derivational complexity? (exponential)
- ▶ can be combined with transformations (e.g., relative (top) termination, Dependency Pairs method)

14/21

Implementation, Extensions

15/21

Deciding Presburger Formulas

- ▶ represent set of models of (sub-)formula F with free variables x_1, \dots, x_n as language over $\{0, 1\}^n$ (with stacked binary encoding)
- ▶ realize logical connectives as operations on finite automata
- ▶ for efficiency, use compressed representation of automata (by BDDs)
- ▶ DISCUSS: omega automata not needed.

Application:

- ▶ the certificate (derivation, interpretation) can be represented directly by the automaton (instead of the formula)

16/21

Automatic Relations/Interpretations

the decision method works in a (slightly) more general setting:

- ▶ formula can refer to any *automatic* relation
- ▶ some are not Presburger-definable, like $E(n) :=$ “the number of 1-bits of n is even”

possible applications in rewriting:

- ▶ more complicated derivations
- ▶ more involved interpretations
e.g., using weakly monotone function
 $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} : x \mapsto 2^{\lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor}$

17/21

How to find Models for Formulas

How to find the (non) termination certificate?

- ▶ input: F with free relation symbols R_1, \dots
- ▶ output: for each R_i , a Presburger definable/automatic relation R_{i_A}

such that F is true in A . — Methods of solution:

- ▶ enumerate formulas for R_i
- ▶ enumerate automata for R_i
- ▶ derive a (Boolean) constraint system for A from F . — This is somewhat ambitious: it requires a propositional encoding of the Presburger decision method.

18/21

Hybrid Search for Interpretations

propositional encoding for

- ▶ DAG that describes interpretation functions (weakly) monotone $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
 - ▶ leaves: base functions (const 0, succ, ...)
 - ▶ branch nodes: operations (composition, ...)
- ▶ table: node \mapsto prefix of list of values
- ▶ weak/strict compatibility with rules

algorithm:

- ▶ if SAT solver finds a solution candidate C (looking at the table only),
- ▶ it can be verified by Presburger decision method (looking at the DAG/terms only)
- ▶ if verification fails, add $<_{\text{lex}} C$ (or $>_{\text{lex}} C$, resp.) as a constraint, and repeat

19/21

Outline

- ▶ properties of rewrite systems:
 - ▶ non-termination, termination
 - ▶ lower/upper bounds on derivational complexity
- ▶ certificates for these properties:
 - ▶ (families of) derivations \Rightarrow lower bounds
 - ▶ interpretations \Rightarrow upper bounds
- ▶ Presburger logic for:
 - ▶ defining the certificate
 - ▶ defining the validity of a certificate
- ▶ applications:
 - ▶ decide validity of certificate
 - ▶ unify (non)termination proof methods
- ▶ extensions (work in progress):
 - ▶ enlarge the class of certificates
 - ▶ finding certificates automatically

20/21

References

- ▶ Mojżesz Presburger, *Über die Vollständigkeit eines gewissen Systems der Arithmetik ganzer Zahlen, ...*, 1929
- ▶ Reddy, Loveland, *Presburger arithmetic with bounded quantifier alternation*, STOC 78
- ▶ Geser, Zantema, *Non-looping string rewriting*, ITA, 99
- ▶ Oppelt, *Automatische Erkennung von Ableitungsmustern. . .*, diploma thesis, 08
- ▶ Waldmann, Zantema, *Termination by Quasi-Periodic Interpretations*, RTA 07

21/21