



## Leipzig autotool — General Design

for each type of exercise:

- types: Config, Instance, Solution  
(each with pretty-printer, parser, API doc)
- functions:
  - grade: Instance × Solution → Bool
    - → Bool × Text
  - describe: Instance → Text
  - initial: Instance → Solution
  - generate: Config × Seed → Instance

## Leipzig autotool — Components

- collection of exercise types as (stateless) semantics server (XML-RPC)
- plugin for Olat LMS (learning management system)
- stand-alone autotool LMS with
  - data base (problems, students, grades, ...)
  - web front-end (for student, for teacher, ...)
  - ... display highscores: small/early solutions)
- since ≈ 2000, open-source (GPL), Haskell,  
≈ 1500 modules, ≈ 15 MB source

## Design Goals for Exercises

- grading:
  - should give reasonable explanation for wrong submissions (not just “it’s wrong”)
  - without giving away the correct solution
- generator:
  - each instance non-trivial, but manageable,
  - set of inst.: sufficiently distinct, similar difficulty
- concrete syntax:
  - Haskell syntax for tuples, lists, records
  - except: (model) programming languages

## Design Principles for Exercises

- basic approach: verify property of an object  
example: any NP complete problem, e.g., SAT
- but this does not check whether the student used a certain algorithm to construct this object
- to prescribe an algorithm:  
object = list of steps of an algorithm, examples:
  - DPLL (decide, propagate, conflict, backtrack), with CDCL (learn, backjump)
  - Resolution (derive empty clause)
  - Hilbert style deduction (derive formula)

## Design Principle: AST Sudoku

- start from any exercise type with grade: Instance × Solution → Bool
- build generator that produces correct pairs
- Instance ∈ Term(Σ), Solution ∈ Term(Γ),  
from Term to Pattern: introduce (several)
  - variables for subtrees
  - variables for function symbols
- “sudoku” variant of this exercise:
  - instance: (p<sub>i</sub>, p<sub>s</sub>) ∈ Pat(Σ) × Pat(Γ)
  - solution: a correct instance of (p<sub>i</sub>, p<sub>s</sub>)
- unlike Sudoku, solution is not necessarily unique

## AST Sudoku — examples

- exercise on data structures (AVL, red/black):
  - NOT: insert (t<sub>1</sub>, 42) is ... ?
  - instantiate [Ins \*, \*, Del 3, \*, \*]  
s.t. it transforms t<sub>1</sub> (given) into t<sub>2</sub> (given).
- exercise on polynomials:
  - instantiate [(q<sub>1</sub>, r<sub>1</sub>), ..., (q<sub>k</sub>, 0)] where  
(q<sub>2</sub>, r<sub>2</sub>) = (15 · x<sup>7</sup> +? · x<sup>3</sup>, 14 · x<sup>7</sup> +? · x<sup>1</sup>), ...  
to a complete trace of Euclid's algorithm.
  - (NB: X = Y · Q + R with |R| < |Y| is fine)

## Exercise type: Haskell Programs

- instance: Haskell module M with some undefined, and test :: Bool
- solution: Haskell module M' that matches M (may replace undefined by any expression) such that test == True
- example: “write function f as a fold”
- use property-based testing with smallcheck
- students can (and should!) work on exercise as-is in ghci on their machine
- security w.r.t.: cheating? attacks (DoS, leaks)?

## Notes from Discussion

- some properties are not decidable (equivalence of context free grammars, of programs, ...)
  - use tests instead (e.g., 1000 shortest strings and 1000 random strings)
  - do not check the property, but a formal proof of that property (need to define and implement syntax and semantics for proofs)
  - change the question to use a decidable approximation instead, e.g., program equivalence: forget states, obtain regular trace language